

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,988 02/2	5/2004	Akishige Nakajima	501.43334X00	8960
20457 7590	05/03/2006		EXAM	INER
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			KALAM, ABUL	
1300 NORTH SEVENTE	ENTH STREET		T	
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			2814	- 1111

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(\(\)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>r</i> -
	10/784,988	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Abul Kalam	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicati ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	<u> April 2006</u> .		
,_	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			,
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17 is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement		
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			(d)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		en received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received	
See the attached detailed Office dotton for a f	iot of the continue copies in		
Attachment(s)	-		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	· —	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-0-0-0) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/04.		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, claims 1-6, in the reply filed on April 7, 2006 is acknowledged. Thereby claims 7-17 are withdrawn from further consideration. Furthermore, claims 18 and 19 were cancelled in the preliminary amendment filed on February 25, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In lines 11-12, the limitation, "said fingers positioned at both ends of said second electrode is wider than a width of each of said fingers positioned between said both ends," is unclear. The claim does not clearly and distinctly specify whether the claimed "said fingers," recited in lines 11 and 12, are of the first electrode or second electrode. Thus, the scope of the limitation is indeterminate. Claims 2-6 are dependent on claim 1, and thus are also rejected.

In line 3 of claim 3, the limitation, "said fingers positioned at both ends," is unclear because the claim does not specify whether the claimed "said fingers" are of the first electrode or second electrode.

Art Unit: 2814

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin (US 5,955,763).

With respect to claim 1, Lin teaches a semiconductor device having a semiconductor chip formed with a transistor (fig. 1),

wherein said transistor has a first electrode ("drain electrode"), a second electrode ("source electrode"), and a control electrode ("poly gate"),

wherein each of said first and second electrodes is constructed by a base portion and a plurality of fingers projected in a direction orthogonal to said base portion,

wherein one of the fingers of said first electrode is disposed between neighboring two fingers of said second electrode (col. 4, lns. 23-26),

wherein said second electrode is connected to a fixed potential (col. 4, lns. 48-51), and

wherein a width of each of said fingers positioned at both ends of said second electrode ("source electrode) is wider than a width of each of said fingers positioned between said both ends (fig. 1, the office interprets the claimed said fingers are of the second electrode, which Lin describes as the source electrode).

With respect to claim 3, Lin teaches wherein the width of said base portion of said second electrode is wider than the width of each of said fingers positioned at both ends (fig. 1, the office interprets the claimed said fingers are of the second electrode, which Lin describes as the source electrode).

With respect to claim 4, Lin teaches wherein said first electrode is a drain electrode, said second electrode is a source electrode, and said control electrode is a gate electrode (fig. 1).

With respect to claim 6, Lin teaches wherein said base portions of said electrodes extend in the same direction, and said fingers of said electrodes extend in a direction orthogonal to an extending direction of said base portions (fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin '763.

With respect to claim 2, Lin teaches the semiconductor device as set forth above in claim 1, including: wherein said second electrode ("source electrode") has at least four fingers (fig. 1).

Thus, Lin teaches all the limitations of the claim with the exception of disclosing: wherein the width of each of said fingers of said second electrode positioned at both

Art Unit: 2814

ends is equal to or wider than a sum of the widths of said plurality of fingers positioned between said both ends.

However, the limitation, "wherein the width of each of said fingers of said second electrode positioned at both ends is equal to or wider than a sum of the widths of said plurality of fingers positioned between said both ends," is not critical. Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the *critical nature of the claimed* "width of each of said fingers of said second electrode positioned at both ends is equal to or wider than a sum of the widths of said plurality of fingers positioned between said both ends," or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to based upon particular chosen dimension or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimension are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Why is it critical for the fingers of said second electrode positioned at both ends of said second electrode to be wider than the sum of the widths of the plurality of fingers? Why must the fingers at the ends be wider than the **sum of the widths** of the fingers, rather than **a width** of each of the fingers?

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin '763 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kazior et al. (5,343,071).

With respect to claim 5, Lin teaches the semiconductor device as set forth above in claim 1, with the exception of explicitly disclosing: wherein said first electrode is a

Art Unit: 2814

collector electrode, said second electrode is an emitter electrode, and said control electrode is a base electrode.

However, Kazior discloses a semiconductor device, such as an MMIC, formed with a transistor, such as a heterojunction bipolar transistor (HBT). Kazior further discloses transistors formed with interdigitated electrodes, including a collector electrode, an emitter electrode, and a base electrode (col. 1, Ins. 42-50),

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the semiconductor device of Lin to have a collector, an emitter, and a base electrode as taught by Kazior, because such a structure would have been considered a mere substitution of art-recognized equivalent values, MPEP 2144.06.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,988 Page 7

Art Unit: 2814

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abul Kalam whose telephone number is 571-272-8346.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AK 4/26/06

> ANH D. MAI | | PRIMARY EXAMINER